

From: rcsadmin@teralogic.tv@inetgw
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/23/02 1:29pm
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

To Whom It May Concern:

I believe the proposed Microsoft antitrust settlement is counter to the interests of the American public, deleterious to the American economy, and is not adequate, given the findings of fact in the trial.

Microsoft's anti-competitive practices are counter to the law and the spirit of our free-enterprise system. These practices inhibit competition and reduce innovation, decreasing employment and productivity in our nation.

Microsoft's monopolistic practices cause the public to bear increased costs and deny them the products of the innovation which would otherwise be stimulated through competition.

The findings of fact which confirmed that Microsoft is a monopoly require strict measures which address not only the practices they have engaged in in the past, but which also prevent them from engaging in other monopolistic practices in the future.

I do not think that the proposed settlement is strong enough to prevent Microsoft from engaging in monopolistic behavior, both now and in the future.

For more specific examples of deficiencies in the current proposed settlement, please refer to Dan Kegel's ongoing analysis at:
<http://www.kegel.com/remedy/remedy2.html>

Sincerely,

Tim Vogt
Sunnyvale, CA
Sr. Software Engineer

--

Tim Vogt
tvogt@synaptick.net